



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/859,484	05/18/2001	Hideo Shibahara	01FN008US	4513

466 7590 05/02/2003

YOUNG & THOMPSON
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

QI, ZHI QIANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2871

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/859,484

Applicant(s)

SHIBAHARA ET AL.

Examiner

Mike Qi

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8,9,11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,7 and 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of US 6,429,918 (Choi et al)

Claim 1, AAPA discloses (page 1, line 13 – page 8, line 8; Figs.3-5) a conventional IPS mode liquid crystal display structure comprising:

- a first transparent substrate (1) including: a plurality of gate lines (6) and a plurality of drain lines (5); thin film transistors (TFT 4) being connected to the gate lines (6) and the drain lines (5); pixel electrodes (3) being formed within the pixel region enclosed with an adjacent pair of the gate lines (6) and an adjacent pair of the drain lines (5), and connected to the TFT (4); and common electrodes (2) developing an electric field within each of the pixel regions between the pixel electrode and itself;
- a second transparent substrate (14) opposing to the first substrate (1) including: color layers (12) provided for each of the pixel regions;
- liquid crystal (10) provided in a space between the first substrate (1) and the second substrate (14).

AAPA does not expressly disclose that the color layer being spaced apart from

the gate lines and the drain lines when seen on a plane, i.e., the color layers do not overlap the gate lines and the drain lines.

However, Choi discloses (col.3, line 60 – col.5, line 64; Figs.2-3) that the color filters (34) are formed at both sides of a sub-pixel defined by the black matrix (33), and the color filters (34) do not overlap the data line (13). According to the Fig.2, the gate lines (11) are extended in the x direction and the data lines (13) are extended in y direction, thereby defining sub-pixels, such that the color filters (34) do not overlap the gate lines (11) and the data lines (13).

Choi indicates (col.5, lines 25-64) that such structure would reduce the light leakage, and the parasitic electric field generating region is shield by the black matrix (33), and there is no influence at leakage current. Therefore, such structure would reduce the vertical parasitic electric field, and increase the contrast.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the color layers do not overlap the gate lines and data lines as claimed in claim 1 for reducing the vertical parasitic electric field so as to increase the contrast.

Claim 4, AAPA discloses (page 21, lines 1-16) that according to the reference JP 5-249436, the driving method for the liquid crystal display is using a driving circuit to apply voltage to each pixel while inverting the polarities of the applied voltage between the odd-numbered gate lines and even-numbered gate lines, i.e., per scanning cycle, and that is a dot inversion driving method, and the same driving is carried frame by

frame, so that the applied voltage is averaged for all the display pixels, thereby suppressing the flickers.

Claims 7 and 10, each of the pixel electrodes and the common electrodes is of a comb-teeth-wise shape that is conventional structure of an IPS mode liquid crystal display device, and AAPA discloses (page 1, lines 25-29; page 4, lines 13-14; Figs.3-5) the IPS mode liquid crystal display having comb-teeth-wise shape pixel electrode (3) and common electrode (2) in each pixel.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6213.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mike Qi
April 24, 2003

TOANTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER